Automatic IFC data enrichment with space geometries for Building Energy Performance Simulations

Georgios N. Lilis 1, Kyriakos Katsigarakis 1, Dimitrios V. Rovas 1

1Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering, University College London, UK

Abstract

The potential of using BIM geometry data to automate the process of setting up the geometry in whole building simulation models is very appealing. This transformation process is far from trivial and relies on good quality data. Missing or incorrect space geometries in the BIM model can create issues, especially in complex geometric configurations. In this paper, we present an algorithm to identify building space volume geometries automatically. The algorithm receives as input the geometric representations of architectural elements surrounding the building spaces and accurately reconstructs the internal building space volumes. The proposed method is tested in real-world examples and can be particularly useful for curved wall geometries. The transformation process relies on good quality geometric input data of the architectural elements avoiding clashes and surface errors; we define criteria to check for such errors and discuss the impact of such errors on the reconstructed space volumes.

Key Innovations

- Automatic openBIM-based (IFC) building space geometry generation.
- Elimination of the error-prone manual building space design of the BEPS model generation process.
- Handling of complex building construction elements (walls, slabs, ...), that a manual space design processes cannot easily tackle.

Practical Implications

According to the current state of the art, the generation of building energy performance simulation models from open-BIM data, is a manual and laborious process. During the design stage, certain design guidelines (rules) (Giannakis et al., 2019) should be followed to produce error-free designs. One of these rules refers to the accurate design of internal building space volumes, a difficult and time-consuming task, in cases where the surrounding to the building spaces, wall geometries are complex. Consequently, the proposed method aims to eliminate this manual internal building space design task, facilitating the overall BEPS model generation process, without introducing additional possible manual design errors.

Introduction

Ways to automate the process of generating Building Energy Performance Simulation (BEPS) models, utilising Building Information Model (BIM) data has received significant attention lately (Andriamamonjy et al., 2018), (Cao et al., 2015). Fully automating the process can be particularly challenging: first, because complex transformations are required and not all the necessary data may be readily available on the BIM model, and second, because the form of the target BEPS model will depend on the intended use (e.g. a compliance model can be quite different from assessing, say, overheating risks). In the former case, additional information might have to be provided, e.g. from product and material libraries. In the latter case, any transformation process should encapsulate expert knowledge tailored to a particular use. While acknowledging some of these challenges, specific tasks are time-consuming and can be more readily automated. One of these is the transfer of geometric information, avoiding the need for duplicating efforts in setting up geometrical information in two separate environments (e.g. the BIM model or the BEPS model).

Even in this more limited scope, it may be hard to meet the specific end-use data requirements. For example, the building’s second-level space boundaries (Bazjanac, 2010), required to set up the energy model may be missing or incorrectly defined in the BIM data files. Geometric transformation algorithms have been reported in the literature (Lilis et al., 2017), (Rose and Bazjanac, 2015), (Ladenhauf et al., 2016), (El-Diraby et al., 2017) that can automate second-level space boundary information identification. A recent review of these methods can be found in (Ying and Lee, 2021). The output of these algorithms can then enrich the BIM models. This enriched BIM model can then be readily used to generate BEPS models through a well-defined and relatively straightforward transformation process. Like second-level space boundary information, knowledge of space volumes has many potential uses in energy modelling. Some of these include the ability to accurately compute space volumes, define space groupings that identify thermal zones, or pre-requisite for space-boundary identification algorithms. When this information is available on the BIM model, we can directly use this information. But
in cases where such information is missing or has errors, then we argue in this paper that this information can be automatically generated. This paper’s main focus is the Automatic Space Generation (ASG) algorithm to generates such spaces.

A prerequisite to the application of these algorithms is that the building geometry has been defined correctly. This requires BIM model checking that covers a range of data quality tests, including (i) checking the boundary representations of all building entities to ensure no missing surfaces and all surfaces are oriented correctly; (ii) clash detection, to ensure no clashes or intersections among the building architectural components and the internal building spaces, if any, should be attached to all surrounding building constructions (walls, slabs, ...). Widely available BIM model checkers, e.g. Solibri, can also be used to identify some of the errors mentioned above (Solibry, 2019).

A principle of minimisation emerges. The BIM model needs to have good quality geometric information to start. Therefore, BIM modellers can benefit from clear guidelines (Giannakis et al., 2019) and model checking tools to ensure data quality requirements are being met. Information related to space volumes and space boundaries can be algorithmically generated, reducing the need for human intervention and the opportunity for errors.

The semantic enrichment process outlined above is shown in Figure 1. Hereafter in this paper, we assume dealing with openBIM data in the Industry Foundation Class (IFC) format. This should help focus the discussion, but without loss of generality — the ASG algorithm would still work for other BIM formats. Given an IFC model of the building that has been designed following guidelines and quality checked, we are seeking to create an enriched model with geometric data for BEPS modelling.

Provided that building space volume descriptions are present in the IFC file, as indicated in (Lilis et al., 2018), error checks are required to ensure that the space definitions meet data quality requirements. These checks cover:

- **Surface Errors** are related to missing or inverted surfaces in the boundary representations (B-reps) of architectural elements and building spaces.
- **Clash Errors** refer to the intersections among the solid geometric representations of architectural elements and building spaces.
- **Space Errors** are defined as the boundary surface parts of internal space volumes which are not attached to other building architectural elements.

The term architectural elements refer to parts of the building fabric (walls, slabs, beams, columns, ...) that impede the building’s thermal exchange with the environment. Other elements such as railings, staircases are ignored as the impact on the total building’s energy balance is not significant.

In case the geometric representation of building space volumes is not present in the IFC data, or there are quality issues, the space volumes are automatically generated by the Automatic Space Generation (ASG) algorithm, which is the focus of this paper. The ASG algorithm’s position in the semantic enrichment workflow is indicated, as a first-level space boundary generation operation, with a green block in Figure 1. Essentially, ASG generates the internal building space volumes (first-level space boundaries according to (Bazjanac, 2010)), from the geometrical information of the surrounding architectural elements and enriches in the output, the input IFC file with the geometrical representation of the generated space volumes.

As stated in (Pinheiro et al., 2018), although a spaces to zones mapping is required for a correct BIM to BEPS transformation process, the present work focuses on the space volume generation to facilitate the building’s second-level space boundary topology generation without taking into account the building zones. Space number reduction using spaces to zones merging is investigated in second-level space boundary simplification algorithms (Lilis et al., 2019).
The rest of the paper is organized as follows: initially, a nomenclature table is presented, followed by the description of ASG and application examples’ algorithmic process. The paper proceeds with analysing the advantages of ASG compared to manual design methods through BIM authoring design software. The paper concludes with a discussion on the limitations of the presented algorithm and its relative performance metrics.

Nomenclature

All mathematical expressions conform to the following nomenclature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-rep</td>
<td>Boundary representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Polygon a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W_a</td>
<td>Wireframe of polygon a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Polygon set A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W_A</td>
<td>Wireframe of polygon set A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(i)</td>
<td>Polygon i of polygon set A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∂A</td>
<td>B-rep A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Set of polygon sets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIS</td>
<td>Length of Isolated Segments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Algorithmic process

The ASG algorithmic process receives as input an IFC file that does not contain any information related to building internal space volumes (described by the IFCspace class) and enriches it with this missing information. The ASG can be split into four consecutive stages:

Stage 1: B-rep generation

Architectural elements are described in IFC files with various geometrical representations, ranging from extruded area solids, manifolds, revolved area solids, faceted boundary representations and others. This stage aims to transform all solid representations of architectural elements in the input IFC file to a convenient form for further processing, the faceted boundary representation (B-rep).

Stage 2: Boundary and internal surface extraction

In this stage, the common boundaries among the B-rep pairs are extracted. All possible B-rep pair combinations of all architectural elements are tested for common boundary surfaces (wall-wall, wall-slab, ...). For each B-rep entity ∂B_i, the common boundaries shared among the B-rep and neighbour B-reps j ∈ N_i are collected in common boundary polygon set CB_{ij}. Here N_i is the set of B-rep indices neighbour to B-rep i. The common boundary extraction is illustrated in part I of Figure 4 and implemented using the function \( F_{cod} \) demonstrated in part III of Figure 2.

\[
CB_{ij} = F_{cod}(\partial B_i, \partial B_j) \tag{1}
\]

Stage 3: Shell generation and classification

After the common boundaries shared by B-rep pairs are extracted, they subtracted from the B-rep surfaces, and the remaining surfaces are obtained. This operation for B-rep ∂B_i can be expressed as:

\[
R_i = \bigcup_{b \in \partial B_i} \left[ b - \bigcup_{c \in C_i} c \right] \quad \text{and} \quad C_i = \bigcup_{j \in N_i} [CB_{ij} \cup IS_{ij}] \tag{3}
\]

In case a clash occurs in a B-rep pair ∂B_i and ∂B_j, the surfaces of B-rep i that are inside B-rep j are also collected into the internal surface set IS_{ij}. IS_{ij} consists of surfaces of ∂B_i that are completely inside B-rep j which are returned by the function \( F_{ins} \) (demonstrated in part I of Figure 2), and surfaces of ∂B_i which are also surfaces of ∂B_j which have the same normal vectors, returned by the function \( F_{csd} \) (demonstrated in part II of Figure 2).

\[
IS_{ij} = [F_{ins}(\partial B_i, \partial B_j) \cup F_{csd}(\partial B_i, \partial B_j)] \tag{2}
\]
Algorithm 1
Common edge function: \( c = F_{ce}(W_1, W_2) \)

\[
c = \text{false} \quad \text{▷ Initialization of output variable}
\]

for \( n = 1, ..., |W_1| \) do
  for \( m = 1, ..., |W_2| \) do
    if \( W_1(n) \cap W_2(m) \neq \emptyset \) then \( \text{▷ Intersection} \)
      \( c = \text{true} \)
      break
    end if
  end for
  if \( c \) then
    break
  end if
end for

It is important to point out that this line segment intersection condition is necessary for the wire-frames and their respective polygons to share common edges in three dimensions. Also, \( F_{ce} \) reports only the common edge existence without calculating the common edge segments. For this reason, if at least one common edge is detected, appropriate break conditions are inserted to terminate the common edge function without examining all other line segment pairs. This decreases the overall execution time of ASG considerably, as \( F_{ce} \) is applied to multiple polygon pairs of the sets \( R_i \) in Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2
Shell generation function: \( S = F_{sg}(R_i) \)

\[
S \leftarrow \{\emptyset\} \quad \text{▷ Initialization of output set}
\]

\[
R \leftarrow \bigcup R_i \quad \text{▷ Remaining set initialization}
\]

\[
R_{tmp} \leftarrow \{R(0)\}
\]

\[
R \leftarrow R/R(0)
\]

\[
c = 0 \quad \text{▷ Counter initialization}
\]

while \( R \neq \emptyset \) do
  \( r = R(c) \) \( \text{▷ Current polygon} \)
  if \( F_{ce}(W_r, W_{R_{tmp}}) \) then
    \( R_{tmp} \leftarrow R_{tmp} \cup r_c \)
    \( R \leftarrow R/R(0) \)
    if \( c = |R| \) then
      \( S \leftarrow S \cup \{R_{tmp}\} \) \( \text{▷ Output set update} \)
    end if
  end if
  \( c = c + 1 \) \( \text{▷ Counter update} \)
end while

The shell generation function’s obtained shells are classified into internal and external shells using the total volume criterion. The total volume criterion is based on the fact that the volume of a shell (not necessarily closed) is negative or positive depending on the normal vector of its surfaces. If the normal vectors point outwards, the shell is external, and its total volume is positive. If the normal vectors point inwards, the shell is internal, and its volume is negative. The total volume \( V_i \) of the shell \( S_i \) is calculated using the following formula:

\[
V_i = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\left| S_i \right|} \left[ < \hat{n}_k, \vec{r}_k > \right] A_k \quad (4)
\]

where \( \hat{n}_k \) is the normal vector, \( \vec{r}_k \) is the centroid vector and \( A_k \) is the area of \( k_{th} \) surface of B-rep \( i \). The notation \( < \vec{a}, \vec{b} > \) is used for inner product of vectors \( \vec{a} \) and \( \vec{b} \). For the volume criterion to be correct all polygon subtracting operations in (3) must preserve the normal vectors of the B-rep polygons \( b \).
Stage 4: IFC enrichment

During the final stage of ASG, IfcSpace data classes are populated in the input IFC file with geometric representation described by the IfcFacetedBrep class and the points of the inner shells extracted by the previous stage. A custom building dedicated We used BIM library to perform the deserialization/serialization operations and bring the input IFC classes to memory, populate them and export the new enriched IFC file. If levelling information is present in the input IFC file in the IfcStorey classes, the geometry of the space cells is translated correctly to the local coordinate system of the respective floor. Otherwise, all space volumes are translated to the building’s local coordinate system.

The overall algorithm is summarized in parts III and IV of Figure 4, for an imaginary cubic room example. In part III, the common boundaries among pairs of walls and slabs are identified and indicated with blue colour. These common boundaries are subtracted from their respective B-reps, leaving a remaining set of surfaces split into an inner and outer shell, indicated, with solid green and transparent green colours, in part IV.

Performance measure

Essentially ASG generates closed space shells. The quality of its output depends on whether the produced shells are closed or not. One performance criterion of ASG is the total length of isolated line segments (segments that belong to one boundary surface of the shell). If the shell is completely closed, all line segments of its boundary surfaces belong to two polygonal surfaces; there are no isolated line segments, and their total length is zero. In any other case, the bigger the total length of isolated line segments, the worse the space shell generation is. Given any set of polygonal surfaces ($S_i$) forming a closed or open-shell, the set isolated segments can be extracted using the isolated segment extraction function ($F_{ise}$). $F_{ise}$ is applied on the wireframes of polygonal surfaces, which are the sets of line segments forming the boundary of the polygonal surfaces. In short, $F_{ise}$ checks the wire-frame of every surface in the polygonal set with the wire-frame of the rest of the set’s surfaces and collects the line segments of the first wireframe that do not intersect with the second wireframe. These line segments define the shell areas, where either surfaces are missing creating holes and render the shell open (see example in part I of Figure 5, or surfaces intersect with each other (see example in part II of Figure 5). Essentially, the length of these line segments on a reconstructed building space volume shell measure how good the reconstruction is since they define areas of missing or intersecting boundary surfaces. This length defines the Length of Isolated Segments (LIS) metric.

Limitations

The results of ASG are affected by geometric errors in the IFC data. For example, clashes or intersections among architecture elements cause the omission of common boundaries among these elements. Consequently, if these common boundaries are not considered in the remaining surface set extraction, the space shell generation is inaccurate. Furthermore, if the orientation of the B-rep surfaces of architectural elements is inverted, the adjacent to these elements building space volumes are not reconstructed correctly, as their related generated shells cannot be classified as inner shells (the volume determined by (4) becomes positive). Finally, if the B-reps of architectural elements have missing surfaces,
the algorithm cannot accurately reconstruct adjacent building space volumes because the related generated shells are open.

**Advantages over existing practices**

Even with the fastest and most accurate designers available, the space volume topology generated by ASG is far superior in production time and accuracy compared to design approaches manually. Additionally, in case the building space cavities are formed by architectural elements which are represented geometrically by irregular solid shapes (which include, for example, surfaces generated by curved segments: circular, ellipsoidal, b-spline arcs), their volume is extremely tedious to be designed, even with the best BIM design software platforms. ASG algorithm can facilitate segmentation algorithms (Ying and Lee, 2019) that convert curved geometries to segmented approximations by removing their requirement to adjust the curved space volume approximations to fill in curved building cavities.

**Software dependencies**

The software implementation of ASG relies on two existing frameworks: a novel cross-platform IFC library implemented in Java, which serializes and deserializes IFC files in various formats (IFC2x3, IFC4, ...) and generates appropriate Java classes and a C++ geometric library. This IFC library is used to query the building’s architectural elements’ geometric representations from the IFC file. The same library can also enrich the IFC file with geometric representations of the space volumes generated by the ASG algorithm. The geometric library depends on the fast and robust open-source clipper software developed by Angus Jonhson (Johnson, 2016). Clipper is based on Vatti’s algorithm (Vatti, 1992). In ASG, the clipper performs basic two-dimensional polygon operations (union, intersection, difference).

**Application examples**

ASG is applied on two demonstration BIMs referring to two real buildings. The first building is a student hall in the Technical University of Crete in Greece, displayed in part I of Figure 3. It was completed in 2020 and is located in the north-west part of the university campus. The building has three floors with six spaces each and is a part of a larger complex which has the same building repeated 11 times. We chose the building because of its simplicity and the fact that it had a very high quality BIM model. Consequently, ASG was applied on this building and 48 inner space shells were reconstructed successfully, illustrated in part II of Figure 6. The space volume reconstruction for this building is pictured in part II of Figure 7. Although the reconstruction seems to be accurate for most of the due to a wall slab misalignment. Also some unoccupiable inner space shells were also reconstructed referring to the small volumes of the pinnacle of the two building shafts. These space volumes are highlighted with a dashed rectangle in Part II of Figure 6.

The second demonstration building is the Torre Turina building, in Valladolid, Spain, pictured in part I of Figure 7. It was selected because it is a large 11-story building BIM that contains many design clash errors (wall-column clashes). It was selected to highlight the importance of inner surface inclusion of ASG (equation (2)), in the avoidance of the harmful effects of these errors to the overall space volume reconstruction.

The space volume reconstruction for this building is pictured in part II of Figure 7.
internal space volumes, geometric errors led to imperfections in the space volume reconstruction process from a general point of view. Clashes between architectural elements generate intersections in reconstructed space surfaces of adjacent building space volumes because the clash’s internal surfaces are not treated as common boundaries but as space volume surfaces, which is not correct (part I in Figure 8). If the clashes’ internal surfaces, defined in (2), are included in the ASG, the reconstruction of adjacent building space volumes is correct (part II in Figure 8). On the other hand, surface errors render some shells external instead of internal. Figure 8 displays the wall-column clashes repeated in all floors of the building, which generate intersections in the reconstructed spaces’ surfaces, resulting in merging two adjacent space volumes into one.

If the clashes' internal surfaces, defined in (2), are included in the ASG, the reconstruction of adjacent building space volumes is correct (part II in Figure 8). On the other hand, surface errors render some shells external instead of internal. Figure 8 displays the wall-column clashes repeated in all floors of the building, which generate intersections in the reconstructed spaces’ surfaces, resulting in merging two adjacent space volumes into one.

Conclusions

An Automatic Space Generation (ASG) algorithm for enriching IFC data files with the geometric representations of building space volumes was introduced. Provided that the geometric representations of the surrounding to the space volumes building elements, are error-free (i.e. do not intersect and the surfaces of their B-reps are not inverted), ASG successfully reconstructs the building facade elements as well as all internal building spaces as outer and inner closed shells, respectively. ASG is particularly useful in cases where the manual design of building spaces for building energy performance simulation purposes is tedious, time-consuming, and even impossible in complex geometric representations of surrounding building constructions (curved geometries, parametric descriptions). The algorithm’s performance can be assessed by the length of isolated segments (segments belonging to only one boundary surface) in each building space volume reconstruction.

ASG was successfully tested using IFC models of two real buildings: one model containing geometric errors, and another which was error-free. In the future, ASG will be included in a general framework containing many software tools used to offer openBIM (IFC) data quality checking and transformation web services. To improve the introduced algorithm’s performance, the parts of ASG, which can run in a parallel fashion, will be implemented using the p_thread C library to enable parallel threads in a multi-core processing environment.
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